

# Spinor Operator Giving Both Angular Momentum and Parity

Takayuki Matsuki\*

*Tokyo Kasei University, 1-18-1 Kaga, Itabashi, Tokyo 173, JAPAN*

Kentarou Mawatari† and Toshiyuki Morii‡

*Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kobe University,  
Nada, Kobe 657-8501, JAPAN*

Kazutaka Sudoh§

*Radiation Laboratory,*

*RIKEN (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research),  
Wako, Saitama 351-0198, JAPAN*

(Dated: August 28, 2004)

In heavy quark effective theory, heavy mesons which contain a heavy quark (or antiquark) are classified by  $s_\ell^{\pi_\ell}$ , i.e., the total angular momentum  $s_\ell$  and the parity  $\pi_\ell$  of the light quark degrees of freedom around a static heavy quark. In this case, however, one needs to separately estimate the parity other than the angular momentum of a light quark to describe heavy mesons.

A new operator  $K$  was proposed some time ago by two of us (T.M. and T.M.). In this Letter, we show that the quantum number  $k$  of this operator is enough to describe both the total angular momentum of the light quark degrees of freedom and the parity of a heavy meson, and derive a simple relation between  $k$  and  $s_\ell^{\pi_\ell}$ .

PACS numbers: 11.30.-j, 12.39.Hg

Keywords: heavy quark effective theory; spectroscopy; symmetry

Recent discovery of narrow meson states  $D_{sJ}(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  by BaBar and the following confirmation by CLEO and Belle [1] has triggered a series of study on spectroscopy of heavy mesons again. Though  $D_{sJ}(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  are assigned as  $j^P = 0^+$  and  $1^+$ , respectively, their masses are significantly smaller than the predictions based on many of potential models [2]. To explain these masses, Bardeen, Eichten, Hill and others [3, 4] proposed an interesting idea of an effective Lagrangian with chiral symmetries of light quarks and heavy quark symmetry. The heavy meson states with the total angular momentum  $j = 0$  and  $j = 1$  related to  $s_\ell$  (the total angular momentum of the light quark degrees of freedom) = 1/2 make the parity doublets  $(0^-, 0^+)$  and  $(1^-, 1^+)$ , respectively, and the members in these doublets degenerate in the limit of chiral symmetry. Furthermore, the two states  $(0^-, 1^-)$  degenerate in the limit of heavy quark symmetry, as well as  $(0^+, 1^+)$ . These doublets are called the heavy spin multiplets.

These newly discovered states are well classified in heavy quark effective theory, i.e., in terms of  $s_\ell^{\pi_\ell}$ , where  $s_\ell$  and  $\pi_\ell$  represent the total angular momentum and the parity of the light quark degrees of freedom around a static heavy quark, respectively. In this case, however, one has to separately estimate the parity and the angular momentum of a light quark for each heavy meson state.

Some time ago, two of the authors (T.M. and T.M.) proposed a new bound state equation for atomlike mesons, i.e., heavy mesons composed of a heavy quark and a light antiquark, and they also proposed a new operator  $K$  which can classify heavy mesons well [5]. In this Letter, we show that this operator  $K$ , given by Eq. (5) below, has the information about not only  $s_\ell$  but also the parity of heavy mesons and naturally explains the heavy spin multiplets. That is, only the quantum number  $k$  corresponding to the operator  $K$  can reproduce both the total angular momentum of the light quark degrees of freedom and the parity of a heavy meson. We also discuss the relation between  $k$  and  $s_\ell^{\pi_\ell}$ .

Let us consider a heavy meson composed of a heavy quark  $Q$  and a light antiquark  $\bar{q}$ . The effective Hamiltonian of this system is obtained by applying the Foldy-Wouthuysen-Tani (FWT) transformation to the heavy quark  $Q$ . One can formulate the equation so that we can cast the structure of the eigenvalue equation into a simple form and make the Dirac-like equation in the large limit of the heavy quark mass  $m_Q$  [5]. In order to show why we can introduce a new operator  $K$  for heavy mesons, we consider the equation with  $1/m_Q$  corrections neglected, whose contribution should be important in numerical analysis of spectroscopy.

---

\*E-mail: matsuki@tokyo-kasei.ac.jp

†E-mail: mawatari@radix.h.kobe-u.ac.jp

‡E-mail: morii@kobe-u.ac.jp

§E-mail: sudou@rarfaxp.riken.go.jp

The lowest energy for the  $Q\bar{q}$  bound state is given by  $m_Q + E_0^a$  after solving the equation [5]

$$H_0 \otimes \psi_0^a = E_0^a \psi_0^a, \quad H_0 = \vec{\alpha}_q \cdot \vec{p}_q + \beta_q (m_q + S(r)) + V(r), \quad (1)$$

where  $a$  expresses all the quantum numbers and quantities with the subscript  $q$  mean those for a light antiquark.  $S(r)$  is a confining scalar potential and  $V(r)$  is a Coulombic vector potential at short distances. Both potentials have dependence only on  $r$ , the relative distance between  $Q$  and  $\bar{q}$ . With a symbol  $\otimes$ , one should note that gamma matrices for a light antiquark be multiplied from left with the wave function while those for a heavy quark from right.

Using the  $2 \times 2$  matrix eigenfunctions  $y_{j m}^k$  of angular part defined below and the radial functions  $f_k$  and  $g_k$ , the  $4 \times 4$  matrix solution to Eq. (1) is given by [5]

$$\psi_0^a = (0 \quad \Psi_{j m}^k(\vec{r})), \quad (2)$$

$$\Psi_{j m}^k(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} f_k(r) & y_{j m}^k \\ ig_k(r) & y_{j m}^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where  $j$  and  $m$  are the total angular momentum of a heavy meson and its  $z$ -component, respectively. The total angular momentum of a heavy meson is the sum of the total angular momentum of the light quark degrees of freedom  $\vec{S}_\ell$  and the heavy quark spin  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{\Sigma}_Q$ :

$$\vec{J} = \vec{S}_\ell + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\Sigma}_Q \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{S}_\ell = \vec{L} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\Sigma}_q, \quad (4)$$

where  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{\Sigma}_q$  ( $= \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}_q$   $1_{2 \times 2}$ ) and  $\vec{L}$  are the 4-component spin and the orbital angular momentum of a light antiquark, respectively. Furthermore,  $k$  is the quantum number of the spinor operator  $K$ , which was introduced in Eq. (20) of Ref. [5], defined by

$$K = -\beta_q (\vec{\Sigma}_q \cdot \vec{L} + 1), \quad K \Psi_{j m}^k = k \Psi_{j m}^k. \quad (5)$$

It is interesting to note that the same form of the operator  $K$  is defined in the case of a single Dirac particle in a central potential [6]. It is remarkable that in our approach  $K$  can be defined even for a heavy meson which is a two-body bound system composed of a heavy quark and a light antiquark.

Here we show that there is a relation between  $k$  and  $s_\ell$ , being often used in heavy quark effective theory. Let us calculate the square of  $K$ .

$$\begin{aligned} K^2 &= (\Sigma_q)_i (\Sigma_q)_j L_i L_j + 2\vec{\Sigma}_q \cdot \vec{L} + 1 = \vec{L}^2 + \vec{\Sigma}_q \cdot \vec{L} + 1 \\ &= \vec{S}_\ell^2 + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the operator  $K^2$  is equivalent to  $\vec{S}_\ell^2$  and it holds

$$k = \pm \left( s_\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad s_\ell = |k| - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Now, let us briefly summarize the properties of the eigenfunctions  $y_{j m}^k$ , whose details are given in [5]. To begin with, we need to introduce the so-called vector spherical harmonics which are defined by [7]

$$\vec{Y}_{j m}^{(L)} = -\vec{n} Y_j^m, \quad \vec{Y}_{j m}^{(E)} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}} \vec{\nabla} Y_j^m, \quad \vec{Y}_{j m}^{(M)} = -i\vec{n} \times \vec{Y}_{j m}^{(E)}, \quad (8)$$

where  $Y_j^m$  are the spherical polynomials and  $\vec{n} = \vec{r}/r$ . These vector spherical harmonics are nothing but a set of eigenfunctions for a spin-1 particle.  $\vec{Y}_{j m}^{(A)}$  ( $A=L, M, E$ ) are eigenfunctions of  $\vec{J}^2$  and  $J_z$ , having the eigenvalues  $j(j+1)$  and  $m$ . The parities are assigned as  $(-)^{j+1}$ ,  $(-)^j$ ,  $(-)^{j+1}$  for  $A=L, M, E$ , respectively, since  $Y_j^m$  has a parity  $(-)^j$ .

In order to diagonalize the leading Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) in the  $k$  space, it is necessary to make  $\vec{Y}_{j m}^{(A)}$  and  $Y_j^m$  into the spinor representation  $y_{j m}^k$  by the following unitary transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{j m}^{-(j+1)} \\ y_{j m}^j \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} Y_j^m \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{Y}_{j m}^{(M)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_{j m}^{j+1} \\ y_{j m}^{-j} \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{Y}_{j m}^{(L)} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{Y}_{j m}^{(E)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

TABLE I: States classified by various quantum numbers

$j^P$	$0^-$	$1^-$	$0^+$	$1^+$	$1^+$	$2^+$	$1^-$
$k$	-1	-1	1	1	-2	-2	2
$s_\ell^{\pi_\ell}$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$
$2s+1 l_j$	$^1S_0$	$^3S_1$	$^3P_0$	$^3P_1, ^1P_1$	$^1P_1, ^3P_1$	$^3P_2$	$^3D_1$
$\Psi_j^k$	$\Psi_0^{-1}$	$\Psi_1^{-1}$	$\Psi_0^1$	$\Psi_1^1$	$\Psi_1^{-2}$	$\Psi_2^{-2}$	$\Psi_1^2$

where the orthogonal matrix  $U$  is introduced as

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2j+1}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{j+1} & \sqrt{j} \\ -\sqrt{j} & \sqrt{j+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

$y_{j m}^k$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrix eigenfunctions of three operators,  $\vec{J}^2$ ,  $J_z$ , and  $\vec{\sigma}_q \cdot \vec{L}$  with eigenvalues,  $j(j+1)$ ,  $m$ , and  $-(k+1)$ , respectively, and have the interesting properties

$$(\vec{\sigma}_q \cdot \vec{n}) \otimes y_{j m}^k = -y_{j m}^{-k}, \quad (11)$$

$$(\vec{\sigma}_q \cdot \vec{L}) \otimes y_{j m}^k = -(k+1) y_{j m}^k, \quad (12)$$

where the quantum number  $k$  can take only values as shown in Eq. (7)

$$k = \pm j \quad \text{or} \quad \pm (j+1). \quad (13)$$

It should be noted that  $k$  is nonzero since  $\vec{Y}_{0 0}^{(M)}$  does not exist.

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1) and using Eqs. (11) and (12), one can eliminate the angular part of the wave function,  $y_{j m}^k$ , and obtain the radial equation as follows,

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_q + S + V & -\partial_r + \frac{k}{r} \\ \partial_r + \frac{k}{r} & -m_q - S + V \end{pmatrix} \Psi_k(r) = E_0^k \Psi_k(r), \quad \Psi_k(r) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} f_k(r) \\ g_k(r) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

which depends on  $k$  alone. This is quite similar to a one-body Dirac equation in a central potential. Since  $K$  commutes with  $H_0$  and the states  $\Psi_{j m}^k$  have the same energy  $E_0^k$  with different values of  $j$ , these states are degenerate with the same value of  $k$  at the lowest order in  $1/m_Q$ .

The parity  $P'$  of the eigenfunction  $\psi_0^a$  is determined by the upper (“large”) two-by-two components  $y_{j m}^k$  as

$$P' = \begin{cases} (-1)^j & \text{for } \Psi_{j m}^{-(j+1)} \text{ and } \Psi_{j m}^j \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \text{for } \Psi_{j m}^{j+1} \text{ and } \Psi_{j m}^{-j} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Thus, using the relations of Eqs. (13) and (15) and taking into account the intrinsic parity of the light antiquark, one can simply write the parity of a heavy meson as

$$P = -P' = \frac{k}{|k|} (-1)^{|k|+1} \quad (16)$$

Notice that the parity  $P$  of the whole system is equal to the parity  $\pi_\ell$  of the light quark degrees of freedom, as can be seen in TABLE I, since the intrinsic parity of a heavy quark is +1.

In heavy quark effective theory, heavy mesons are normally classified in terms of  $s_\ell^{\pi_\ell}$ , since at the lowest order heavy quarks in those mesons are considered to be static, namely it stays rest at the center of a heavy meson system. In this work, we have found that (i) the parity of a heavy meson and (ii) the total angular momentum of the light quark degrees of freedom can be reproduced in terms of  $k$  alone as seen from Eqs. (16) and (7), respectively. We have also found that the degeneracy between members in each heavy spin multiplet,  $(0^-, 1^-)$  and  $(0^+, 1^+)$ , is automatic in our approach [5], while the method using the effective Lagrangian with heavy-quark as well as chiral symmetries must force degeneracy among parity doublets to construct such a Lagrangian [3, 4]. These are the *main results of this paper*.

As our summary, several states are classified by various quantum numbers in TABLE I. The states with different  $j$  but with the same parity  $P$  make a heavy spin multiplet of heavy mesons, which corresponds to *heavy quark symmetry* in heavy quark effective theory. One can see that  $k$  naturally explains the heavy spin doublets.

Before closing our discussions, we comment about  $k$  from the phenomenological point of view. The lowest order solution satisfies degeneracy in  $k$  since the energy depends only on  $k$ , i.e.,  $j^P = 0^-$  and  $1^-$  states have the same mass, so are the  $0^+$  and  $1^+$  states. This degeneracy is resolved by including higher order terms in  $1/m_Q$  [5] and one can phenomenologically discuss mass spectra of these heavy mesons even though some objections [8] for using a potential model exist. A comprehensive analysis on mass spectra of heavy mesons including  $D_{sJ}(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  is in progress.

---

- [1] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003); CLEO Collaboration, D. Besson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 032002 (2003); Belle Collaboration, P. Krokovny *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262002 (2003); Y. Mikami *et al.*, *ibid.* **92**, 012002 (2004).
- [2] See, for example, S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985); S. Godfrey and R. Kokoski, *ibid.* **43**, 1679 (1991).
- [3] W. A. Bardeen, E. J. Eichten, and C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 054024 (2003).
- [4] W. A. Bardeen and C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 409 (1994); M. A. Nowak, M. Rho, and I. Zahed, *ibid.* **48**, 4370 (1993); A. Deandrea, N. Di Barolomeo, R. Gatto, G. Nrdulli, and A. D. Plosa, *ibid.* **58**, 034004 (1998); A. Hiorth and J. O. Eeg, *ibid.* **66**, 074001 (2002).
- [5] T. Matsuki and T. Morii, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 5646 (1997).
- [6] M. E. Rose, *Relativistic Electron Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, 1961; J. J. Sakurai, *Advanced Quantum Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, 1967.
- [7] See, for example, J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics*, John Wiley & Sons, 1952; A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons, 1958.
- [8] T. Barnes, F. E. Close, and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 054006 (2003).